

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,478		03/30/2004	Dean E. Thorson	CE11244R	4794
22917	7590	05/18/2005		EXAMINER	
MOTOROI	A, INC.		TRAN, CO	TRAN, CONGVAN	
1303 EAST A	ALGONQ	UIN ROAD			
IL01/3RD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SCHAUMBI	SCHAUMBURG, IL 60196			2683	
SCHAUMB	JKG, IL	00190		2683	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/813,478	THORSON ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		CongVan Tran	2683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE M Extensi after SI If the pe - If NO pe - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION one of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Seriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reserted for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly received by the Office later than three months after the mail patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ F	⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 March 2004</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ⊤	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	n of Claims						
4) \( \times \) \(	claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrated alignments and is/are allowed.  claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.  claim(s) is/are objected to.  claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application	n Papers	·					
9)∐ TI	ne specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
	)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre ne oath or declaration is objected to by the E		• • •				
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s							
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔛 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate.				
3) 🛛 Informa	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 lo(s)/Mail Date <u>3/30/04</u> .		eatent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/813,478

Art Unit: 2683

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 7-10, 12, 14, 16-17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murasawa et al. (6,760,594).

Regarding claims 1, 3, and 7, Murasawa discloses a method of connecting priority call in wireless communication system, comprising the steps of: receiving a call setup request from a mobile unit (see fig.6, element 7, col.3, lines 43-45, fig.4, step S21 and its description); determining RF condition from the call setup request (see fig.6, element 27, col.9, lines 22-27, fig.4, step S22 and its description); determining whether to utilize either a base station controller or a base station for channel assignment base on the RF conditions (see fig.6, element 28, col.9, lines 28-31, fig.4, step S22 and its description); assigning a channel to the mobile unit (see fig.6, element 28, col.9, lines 32-35, fig.4, step S24, and its description).

Regarding claims 2, and 8, Murasawa further discloses determining type of call to be setup; and wherein the determination based on type of call to be setup (see fig.6, element 28, col.9, lines 59-67 and its description).

Application/Control Number: 10/813,478

Art Unit: 2683

Regarding claims 4, 10, and 17, Murasawa further discloses the step of determining RF conditions comprises the step of determining a number of base stations available for communication (see col.16, lines 13-23).

Page 3

Regarding claims 9, 12, 14, 16, and 19, Murasawa discloses a method of connecting priority call in wireless communication system, comprising receiving a request from a mobile unit to setup a call (see fig.6, element 7, col.3, lines 43-45, fig.4, step S21 and its description); receiving RF conditions from the request (see fig.6, element 27, col.9, lines 22-27, fig.4, step S22 and its description); receiving a type of call to be setup from request (see fig.6, element 28, col.9, lines 59-67 and its description); determining whether to utilize either a BSC or a base station for channel assignment base on the RF conditions and the type of call to be setup (see fig.6, element 28, col.9, lines 28-31, fig.4, step S22 and its description); assigning a channel to the mobile unit via the BSC or the BS base on the determination (see fig.6, element 28, col.9, lines 32-35, fig.4, step S24, and its description).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6, 13, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murasawa et al. (6,760,594) in view of Declerck et al. (6,760,594).

Application/Control Number: 10/813,478

Art Unit: 2683

Regarding claims 6, 13, and 20, Murasawa discloses all the subject matters as described in rejected claims 1, 9 and 16, except for receiving the call setup request comprises the step of receiving one of an IS2000 Origination Message, an IS2000 Page Response Message, o an IS Reconnect Message. However, Declerck discloses a method for initiating a communication within a communication system, having, the receiving the call setup request comprises the step of receiving one of an IS2000 Origination Message (see figs. 1-2, paragraph [0018] and its description). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the Declerck's IS2000 Origination Message in Murasawa's system in order to provide a shared high speed packet data in telecommunication system.

Page 4

5. Claims 5, 11, 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murasawa et al. (6,760,594) in view of Mangal et al. (6,865,398).

Regarding claims 5, 11, 15 and 18, Murasawa discloses all the subject matters as described in rejected claims 9 and 16, except for receiving the type of call to be setup comprises the step of receiving a request to setup a PTT call. However, Mangal discloses a method and system for selectively reducing call comprising the receiving a request to setup a PTT call (see fig.7, step 154-156 and its description). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the Mangal's PTT call in Murasawa's system in order to provides several mechanisms to overcome or reduce call setup latency.

Art Unit: 2683

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CongVan Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-7871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CONGVANTBAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

CongVan Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 2683

May 12, 2005.